



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/814,125	03/22/2001,	Yoshinori Iketaki	2001_0327A	2053
513	7590 01/05/2004		EXAMINER	
WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P.			CHANG, AUDREY Y	
2033 K STREET N. W. SUITE 800		,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20006-1021		2872	,
			DATE MAILED: 01/05/2004	4 /

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

7]			$^{\vee}$			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/814,125	IKETAKI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	<u> </u>			
	Audrey Y. Chang	2872				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however y within the statutory minim will apply and will expire SI s, cause the application to b	er, may a reply be timely filed num of thirty (30) days will be considered time X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this ecome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 C	October 2003.					
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>74 and 77-97</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 74 and 77-97 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirem	ient.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.						
37 CFR 1.78.						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		nterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		Notice of Informal Patent Application (PT Other:	ΓO-152)			
and morniagon biologue oraconiem(a) (1 10-1443) 1 april 10(3)						

Art Unit: 2872

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on October 23, 2003, has been entered.
- 2. This Office Action is also in response to applicant's amendment filed on October 8, 2003, which has been entered as paper number 14.
- 3. By this amendment, the applicant has amended claims 74, and 77-78, has canceled claims 75-76 and had newly added claims 81-97.
- 4. Claims 74 and 77-97 remain pending in the application.
- 5. The rejections to claims 74-80 under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, set forth in the previous Office Action dated May 23, 2003, are withdrawn in response to applicant's amendment.

Double Patenting

6. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 09/814,125

Art Unit: 2872

. 01.

7. Claims 74, 84, 85, 86, 87, 95 and 96 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 3, 6, 7, 44, 46, 49, 51, 62 and 63 of U.S. Patent No. 6,667,830. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both of the cited patent and the instant application claim a microscope having a light source for generating a light beam of first wavelength to excited the molecules in the sample from ground state to a first excited state and a second light source for generating a light beam of second wavelength to excite the sample molecules from first excited state to a second excite state, which is the basic operation principle for the double-resonance absorption microscope. The microscope further comprises an overlap component for partially overlapping irradiating areas of the light beams so that an emission area is partially inhibited during de-excitation of the sample molecule. A phase modulation element is provided in the light path of the light with the second wavelength to introduce $a \pi$ phase difference around the optical axis of the light beam. The feature concerning adding the dye with ground and excitation states to the sample is standard practice in the art for observing sample under microscope and it should be implicitly included in the instant application in order for the doubleresonance absorption, (i.e. the excitation and de-excitation between ground state, first and second excited states) to occur. The detector for detecting the signal is also implicitly included for observing the sample under microscope.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Audrey Y. Chang whose telephone number is 703-305-6208, (will be 571-272-2309 after January 20, 2004). The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:00-4:30), alternative Mondays off.

Art Unit: 2872

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Drew Dunn can be reached on 703-305-0024. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Audrey Y. Chàng Primary Examiner Art Unit 2472

A. Chang, Ph.D.